



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

The following individuals submitted comments on agenda item:				
Agenda #	Relate To	Position	Name	Comments
Public Comment		Favor	citizens folks	<p>Public Letter to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors: Black People Deserve Full Reparations – No More Delays</p> <p>To: The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Subject: Black People Deserve Full Reparations: Land, Money, Housing, and Economic Justice Now</p> <p>Dear Honorable Members of the Board,</p> <p>For centuries, Black people in America—especially in Los Angeles County—have been systematically robbed of wealth, land, housing, and opportunities. We built this country, yet we have received nothing in return but racial discrimination, stolen property, and economic oppression. It is time to give Black people what is rightfully ours.</p> <p>Los Angeles County has the resources to fund policing, corporate incentives, and billiondollar developments—there is no excuse not to fully fund reparations for Black residents.</p> <p>1. Direct Reparations for All Black Descendants</p> <p>We are no longer asking. We are demanding full reparations NOW, not in studies, not in promises, but in real, tangible compensation. We demand:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Direct payments of at least \$5 million per Black descendant, recognizing stolen labor, land, and wealth. A guaranteed minimum income of \$10,000 per month for all Black residents, ensuring financial security. 100% free homeownership for Black families, providing reparations for redlining, housing discrimination, and stolen property. A permanent reparations fund, guaranteeing financial justice for future Black generations. <p>Los Angeles County owes Black people more than studies and promises—it owes us our wealth back.</p> <p>2. Housing is a Right: Black People Get Free Homes</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Black people in Los Angeles have been forced into homelessness, overpriced rentals, and housing discrimination for generations. We demand:

100% free housing for all Black residents, with guaranteed homeownership rights.

Immediate cancellation of rent and mortgages for Black people, ending housing debt created by systemic racism.

Permanent eviction bans for Black tenants, stopping landlords from displacing Black families for profit.

County-funded renovations for Black-owned homes, ensuring our families can live with dignity.

Stop putting us in watts we deserve to live in Beverly hills to we deserve to live where board of supervisors lawyers live

If the county can fund massive real estate projects for corporations, it can provide free housing for the Black community it has historically displaced. Now

3. All Bills Canceled: Black People Deserve Financial Freedom

Black households face higher costs for basic services due to discrimination in lending, utilities, and economic barriers. We demand:

Full cancellation of utility bills (water, electricity, gas, internet, phone) for all Black residents.

Zero-interest loans and automatic debt forgiveness for Black people trapped in predatory financial systems.

County-funded grocery programs, ensuring Black families have access to free, highquality food.

Free public transportation for all Black residents, ensuring mobility without financial burden.

No more financial barriers. Black people deserve a life free from economic oppression.

4. Free Cars for All Black People

Black people have been systematically denied car loans, forced into high-interest auto financing, and over-policed for simply driving. We demand:

Fully paid-for vehicles for every Black resident in Los Angeles County.

Free auto insurance and maintenance, eliminating financial burdens.

An end to racially biased traffic stops and fines, stopping police harassment of Black drivers.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

We built this economy, yet we are the last to benefit. That ends today.

5. Free Healthcare for All Black People

Medical racism has cost countless Black lives. We refuse to let the county ignore this injustice any longer. We demand:

100% free healthcare for all Black people, including dental, vision, and mental health care. labor and delivery for blacks. We accept all races in our communities

Black-run hospitals and medical centers, ensuring culturally competent treatment.

A permanent maternal health fund, addressing the Black maternal mortality crisis.

Full compensation for every Black person harmed by medical discrimination.

Healthcare is not a privilege—it is a right. And Black people deserve it for free.

No More Delays. No More Excuses. Pay Black People What We Are Owed.

For generations, Los Angeles County has stolen from, exploited, and discriminated against Black people. Now, we are demanding full reparations—no commissions, no debates, just action.

? You fund corporations—we demand you fund Black communities immediately. u Fund the wars Help The people.

? You build luxury developments—we demand free housing for Black people.

? You subsidize industries—we demand free healthcare, utilities, and transportation.

? You enforce laws that oppress us—we demand laws that protect us.

This is our money, our land, our justice. And we will not stop until we get it.

Sincerely,
Black Folks we the citizens the people

we been raped long enough



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Erin Whitehead	
Evan Edmiston	YES ON 51B
Eve Patzlaff	<p>My name is Eve Patzlaff, and I live in Van Nuys. I'm urging you to vote YES on 51B. The current \$10,000 penalty for rent gouging is meaningless to landlords raking in tens of thousands each month in rent. They can easily absorb the fine and continue gouging tenants without consequence.</p> <p>Weak enforcement is fueling this crisis. Only two landlords have been charged so far. Landlords aren't scared of breaking the law because they know they won't get caught. This must end. We need steeper fines, aggressive enforcement, and real accountability to protect tenants from predatory rent hikes. We need a rent freeze and an eviction moratorium for all of LA County!</p> <p>Increase penalties. Enforce violations. Stop illegal rent gouging.</p>
Super Man	<p>There are too many women in leadership. Men will take over soon. All 5 positions in the LA County board of supervisors composed of women is laughable, at best, and tragic with the lack of leadership in our recent emergencies, at worst. Women are not fit to lead society, go back to your book clubs and tea parties.</p>
Tanisha A Brown	<p>Unfortunately a lot of key items has been held up by political gain from Congresswoman Sydney Kamel., Supervisor Holly Mitchell, Aja Brown, Lori Gay, Jesse Cretaro and their could be more but I need help from an investigation team because alone this is too much. However I refuse to let these people ruin my name, career and opportunities</p>
We the citizens the people that comes first	<p>We the citizens the people that comes first</p> <p>Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012</p> <p>Subject: Formal Demand for Accountability, Transparency, and Immediate Action to Protect the Citizens of Los Angeles County</p> <p>To the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors,</p> <p>I, along with the undersigned citizens of Los Angeles County, submit this letter as an irrefutable demand for immediate governmental accountability and fiscal responsibility. As elected officials, you have a sworn duty to uphold the Constitution, protect the rights and welfare of citizens, and manage taxpayer funds responsibly. However, your actions, policies, and spending priorities have failed the people. For the past 10 years</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

We, the people, demand answers, immediate policy corrections, and an end to reckless spending and government overreach. Federal and state laws mandate transparency, accountability, and the prioritization of public welfare over political interests. We are holding you legally and morally responsible for the mismanagement, corruption, and negligence that continues to erode public trust.

?? Section 1: Violations of Federal and State Law & Duty to Serve the Public

1. Misuse of Public Funds & Violation of Fiscal Accountability Laws

Federal Law: 18 U.S. Code § 641 – Public Money, Property or Records Misuse

Taxpayer money is being recklessly spent on non-essential projects such as the La Brea Tar Pits Master Plan, millions in no-bid HIV contracts, and Sheriff's fuel trucks, while our communities suffer from homelessness, crime, and lack of essential services.trafficking

We demand full transparency and a forensic audit of all county expenditures for the last 5 years.

2. Child Protective Services (DCFS) Violations of Federal Rights

Federal Law: Title 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights

DCFS has a documented history of unconstitutional child removals, violating due process rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments.

DCFS must be immediately investigated, and all funding should be frozen until an independent oversight committee is established.

Demand: Immediate suspension of all wrongful child removals and a federal investigation into DCFS misconduct. Due to children dying in dcfs care and custody. And csw workers committing fraud .a csw placed a minor with a foster parent a family member stepped in got child but foster parent receives funding and csw sam vemo the family members the money and its all happening in Stephen marpet court Room department 410 This is corruption at its finest I have all the evidence this needs to be shut down Now.

3. Breach of Duty in Public Safety & Law Enforcement Transparency

Federal Law: 5 U.S. Code § 552 – Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

The Sheriff's Department's budget, vehicle purchases, and "special



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

appropriation fund" transfers lack transparency.

Demand: Full release of LASD's financial records, fleet maintenance reports, and budget justifications for fuel trucks and special fund allocations.

?? Section 2: Irresponsible Government Spending While Citizens Suffer

1. Misallocation of Public Health & Housing Funds

Millions are being funneled into non-transparent, no-bid health contracts while homelessness, mental health crises, and addiction problems spiral out of control.

Toyon Gardens tax-exempt housing bonds are another developer-friendly deal with no strict tenant protections—who is really benefiting from these funds?

Demand:

? Immediate suspension of no-bid contracts in public health services.

? A public hearing to audit all taxpayer-funded housing projects to ensure they serve actual low-income citizens, not corporate interests.

2. Misplaced Priorities in Public Works & Infrastructure

The La Brea Tar Pits Master Plan and other vanity projects do nothing to serve the immediate needs of struggling citizens.

Instead of wasting millions on museum expansions, why not allocate those funds to public low income housing community center giving all citizens money and fixing decaying infrastructure in low-income areas?

Demand:

? Immediate freeze on all non-essential infrastructure projects that do not directly impact public safety or economic improvement.

? Reallocation of funds to critical emergency services such as firefighters presence, mental health crisis response, and public transportation improvements.

All these luxury buildings ya build need to be rented to us I need a washer and dryer inside my unit yoga and so on I want to live like LA board of supervisors going to the spa eating good get gas

?? Section 3: Immediate Demands & Legal Consequences



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

We, the citizens of Los Angeles County, demand the following immediate actions:

- 1?? Cease all unnecessary public spending and conduct a full financial audit of county expenses.
- 2?? Freeze all DCFS funding and initiate a federal investigation into wrongful child removals.
- 3?? Require competitive bidding for all public contracts to prevent corruption.
- 4?? Reallocate taxpayer money toward crime reduction, homelessness solutions, and essential services.
- 5?? Publicly disclose all budget justifications for LASD vehicle purchases and special funds.

Failure to address these issues will result in legal action against those responsible under:

The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) for withheld records.

18 U.S. Code § 241 – Conspiracy Against Rights (for unlawful actions by DCFS).

18 U.S. Code § 1001 – False Statements and Fraud in Government Matters.

You, the Board of Supervisors, are on notice that continued inaction, corruption, and mismanagement will lead to civil and legal consequences. The people of Los Angeles County will not stand by while you mismanage our resources, violate our rights, and prioritize your own political agendas over the needs of the public.

This letter serves as a formal record of public demand and will be sent to federal oversight agencies if our concerns are ignored.

We will hold you accountable.

Sincerely,
We the people
On Behalf of the Citizens of Los Angeles County

??

? Send This Letter to the Board of Supervisors
?? Email: publiccomment@bos.lacounty.gov
??



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			<p>? Speak in Public Comment ?? Date: February 4, 2025 ?? Location: Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration</p> <p>? we the people Request & Demand County Financial Records</p> <p>Request Sheriff's Budget Details & DCFS Records under 5 U.S.C. § 552 (FOIA Law)</p> <p>?? Notice Police or sheriff or dcfs don't need anymore funding.</p> <p>lets talk about seeing the Epstein list and diddy list we cant ignore Alley carter on YouTube exposing dcfs trafficking her to celebrities parties and fire she said to cover up evidence and burn children this don't dit riy stop children trafficking Now</p>
		<p>Oppose</p>	<p>black folks</p> <p>Public Black Letter to the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors: Stop Exploiting Black Families & End Government Harassment pay us Now stop the bs cover ups</p> <p>To: The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Subject: Injunction Against Government Harassment & Demands for Family Preservation and Reparations</p> <p>Dear Honorable Members of the Board,</p> <p>For generations, Black families have been targeted, separated, and exploited under the guise of "social services," while billions of dollars are funneled into agencies that do not serve us but destroy us. The Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) and other government systems have criminalized Black parents, stolen Black children, and destabilized our communities—all while profiting from our pain. We are tired of being used as political talking points and funding sources for programs that do nothing but harm.</p> <p>The time for action is now—we demand an immediate injunction against state and county agencies that continue to harass, separate, and exploit Black families under the guise of "help."</p> <p>1. An Immediate Injunction Against Government Harassment of Black Families</p>



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Black parents have been disproportionately targeted by child welfare agencies, law enforcement, and social service systems that do not work for us but against us. The County must immediately:

- ? Ban racial profiling and unnecessary investigations of Black families by DCFS, police, and other county agencies.
- ? Require immediate reunification for all Black children removed without clear, indisputable evidence of harm.
- ? Implement independent oversight panels led by Black community leaders to review and approve all DCFS removals involving Black children.
- ? End the financial incentives for child removal, which allow agencies to profit from tearing Black families apart.

DCFS and related agencies receive billions, yet Black families are still struggling, still broken, and still under attack. That stops today.

2. Redirect Funds to Family Preservation—Not Destruction

Los Angeles County pours millions into child services while doing little to actually support and uplift Black families. Instead of funding separation, we demand that money be used to keep families together:

- ? Direct cash assistance to Black parents, ensuring financial hardships do not lead to unnecessary child removals.
- ? Free, high-quality childcare for all Black families, removing barriers to employment and education.
- ? County-funded family healing and reunification programs, led by Black professionals and community members—not government bureaucrats.
- ? A freeze on all forced adoptions of Black children, preventing agencies from permanently severing Black family ties for profit.

We refuse to allow these agencies to profit off Black suffering while claiming to “help.”

3. Reparations & Economic Justice for Black Families

Government policies created Black poverty. Government policies must fix it. Reparations are not a suggestion—they are a demand. We demand:

- ? Direct, no-strings-attached reparations payments to all Black families who have been impacted by DCFS, police overreach, and discriminatory policies.
- ? A \$5 billion Black Family Restoration Fund, providing financial relief, legal aid, and family reunification support for Black residents.
- ? Free housing, utilities, and healthcare for Black families, ensuring stability and security after centuries of economic oppression.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

? A legal guarantee of land and homeownership for Black descendants, reversing decades of racist housing discrimination.

Black families deserve to build wealth, security, and legacy—not struggle under a system that was designed to keep us oppressed.

4. End the Criminalization of Black Parenthood

Black parents should not have to live in fear that a social worker or police officer will come and rip their children away for being poor, struggling, or simply being Black. We demand:

- ? An immediate halt to the use of poverty as a reason for child removal.
- ? An end to police involvement in non-criminal family matters.
- ? Full accountability for agencies that wrongfully remove Black children, including financial restitution to affected families.
- ? A legal right to fight back—Black parents must have free legal representation when facing DCFS intervention.

Black families have suffered enough. No more unjust removals. No more forced separations. No more using Black children as revenue streams.

This is Our Final Warning: We Will Not Wait Any Longer.

We have seen enough reports, heard enough excuses, and watched enough of our families be torn apart while nothing changes. We demand immediate action, or we will take action ourselves.

- ? We will organize and protest against every agency that profits off Black suffering.
- ? We will legally challenge every wrongful removal and criminalization of Black parents.
- ? We will expose every politician and official who stands in the way of Black liberation.

This is a turning point. Either you stand with Black families, or you stand against us. The choice is yours. we Need Black lawyers not children lawcenter or los Angeles dependency inc lawyers they are trafficking out children for federal funding I have tons of evidence

Sincerely,
We the people descendants



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

<p>coalition nation wide we the people</p>	<p>Public Comment Letter on February 11, 2025, County Meeting Agenda]</p> <p>To: The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Re: Public Comment on Agenda Items Affecting the Community</p> <p>Dear Honorable Members of the Board,</p> <p>I am writing as a concerned citizen regarding several items on the February 11, 2025, agenda. These motions have significant implications for the well-being, financial stability, and civil liberties of the residents of Los Angeles County. While we appreciate the Board's efforts to address critical issues, transparency, accountability, and community impact must remain at the forefront of decision-making.</p> <p>1. Drug and Alcohol Testing Services Contract (File ID: 25-0715)</p> <p>While workplace safety is a priority, the expansion of drug and alcohol testing must be handled with caution. There is a history of such policies disproportionately impacting vulnerable populations, including low-income workers and marginalized communities. The County must ensure that this contract includes:</p> <p>Clear guidelines to prevent discriminatory testing practices.</p> <p>Safeguards against unnecessary job loss or punitive measures.</p> <p>Support services for individuals who test positive, including rehabilitation and employment protections.</p> <p>Without these provisions, this contract risks harming those who need support rather than punishment.</p> <p>2. Homeland Security Grant (File ID: 25-0714)</p> <p>The acceptance of funds under the Homeland Security Grant Program raises concerns about its potential effects on civil liberties and community-police relations. We urge the Board to provide:</p> <p>Full transparency on how the funds will be allocated.</p> <p>Protections to ensure these resources are not used for unjust surveillance or militarization of local law enforcement.</p> <p>Community oversight to ensure equitable and ethical use of these funds.</p> <p>Without these assurances, there is a risk that this grant could contribute to</p>
--	--



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

over-policing rather than genuine security improvements.

3. Salary and Benefits Changes for LACERA Employees (File ID: 25-0708, 25-0709)

Fair wages and benefits are critical to retaining skilled employees, but these increases must not come at the expense of essential public services. Any adjustments should be:

Fully justified with a public cost-benefit analysis.

Balanced to ensure they do not lead to budget cuts in social services.

Public funds must be used responsibly to benefit all residents, not just a select group of employees.

4. Lawsuit Settlement – Michael D. Simpson v. County of Los Angeles (File ID: 25-0713)

A settlement of \$800,000 demands scrutiny. The public has the right to know:

The circumstances that led to this lawsuit and whether systemic failures contributed.

Measures being taken to prevent similar legal disputes in the future.

Without addressing the root causes of such cases, settlements like this become a recurring taxpayer burden rather than a solution.

5. Evaluating the First Year of CARE Court in Los Angeles County (File ID: 25-0738)

The CARE Court system is a significant reform in mental health intervention. A transparent evaluation is necessary to determine:

Whether individuals are receiving adequate and ethical care.

If the program is reducing homelessness and mental health crises.

How community feedback is being incorporated into policy changes.

Without these assessments, the County risks funding an ineffective program that does not truly serve those in need.

6. Probation Department's Global Plan for Halls and Camps (File ID: 23-1210)



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Reforms in probation services must prioritize rehabilitation over punitive measures. The Board must ensure:

The voices of impacted youth and families are considered in the planning process.

Policies focus on education, skill-building, and reintegration rather than excessive confinement.

Without a rehabilitative approach, the system will continue to fail the youth it is meant to help.

Final Call for Transparency and Public Accountability

These agenda items represent critical decisions that will shape the future of Los Angeles County. As elected officials, your duty is not just to approve measures but to ensure they benefit the community equitably. I urge the Board to:

1. Increase public transparency by providing detailed justifications for financial and policy decisions.
2. Establish community oversight mechanisms for security and policing-related expenditures.
3. Prioritize equity and support over punitive approaches in employment, law enforcement, and rehabilitation programs.

Decisions made without public scrutiny can erode trust in governance. I urge the Board to act with integrity, fairness, and accountability to the people of Los Angeles County.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
we the dam citizens the people in your communities

we nation wide we the people

[Public Comment Letter: A Call for a People-First Approach in Los Angeles County]the citizens Not immigrants we need the same amount ya gave the immigrants in calworks and calfresh Now stop the bs

To: The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Subject: Public Comment on County Policies and the Need for Equitable, People-Centered Governance

Dear Honorable Members of the Board,

I write this letter not as a politician, corporation, or special interest group but as a resident of Los Angeles County—a county whose policies must reflect the needs, dignity, and well-being of its people. The decisions made in this chamber have far-reaching consequences, impacting millions of families, workers, and vulnerable individuals. It is imperative that every vote cast and every policy enacted prioritizes the people over bureaucracy, efficiency over waste, and justice over convenience.

The Foundation of a Just and Equitable County

Government exists to serve the people, not the other way around. Yet, time and again, decisions are made without transparency, without community input, and without clear accountability. This must change. I propose a simple, irrefutable standard for every policy you pass:

1. Does it tangibly improve the lives of the people—ALL people, not just a select few?
2. Does it ensure fair economic opportunities, housing stability, and public safety WITHOUT harming marginalized communities?
3. Does it prioritize transparency, accountability, and fiscal responsibility to prevent taxpayer waste?

If any policy fails to meet these criteria, it should be rejected or reworked. Anything less is an abdication of duty.

Key Issues That Require Immediate, People-Centered Solutions

1. Housing & Homelessness Prevention

Los Angeles County cannot continue to ignore the housing crisis. It is not enough to simply allocate funds—we must ensure those funds are being used effectively, directly benefiting those in need rather than being wasted on bureaucratic inefficiencies. We demand:

- ? A “Housing First” approach that provides permanent, stable housing before requiring individuals to meet other conditions.
- ? A cap on rent increases to prevent corporate landlords from pricing out working families.



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

? Greater accountability on county funds allocated to homeless services, ensuring the money is used for direct impact, not administrative bloat.

A county that allows rampant homelessness while developers profit off luxury housing is a county that has failed its people.

2. Economic Equity & Fair Wages

Working people should not have to choose between paying rent and buying food. Yet, the cost of living continues to rise while wages stagnate. The Board must act decisively by:

? Implementing a countywide minimum wage of \$25/hour—keeping pace with inflation and the cost of living.

? Expanding small business grants and incentives to encourage local economic growth and job creation.

? Requiring corporations that receive county contracts to pay fair wages and hire locally.

A thriving economy is one where workers are valued, not exploited.

3. Public Safety & Criminal Justice Reform

Public safety must be about protecting communities, not criminalizing poverty. To achieve real justice and security, the County must:

? Expand mental health response teams to replace law enforcement in non-violent crisis situations.

? End cash bail for non-violent offenses, ensuring low-income individuals are not imprisoned simply for being poor.

? Invest in community-led violence prevention programs that address root causes rather than relying solely on policing.

Safety is not created through over-policing—it is created through strong, well-resourced communities.

4. Healthcare & Mental Health Access

Every resident deserves access to healthcare, regardless of income or immigration status. The Board must:

? Fund county-run clinics with free healthcare services for those who cannot afford private care.

? Expand mental health services in schools and workplaces to address the growing crisis.

? Ensure public hospitals receive the necessary resources to provide quality, timely care to all residents.

A government that does not invest in the health of its people is a government



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			<p>that fails its most basic duty.</p> <p>The People Demand Action, Not Empty Promises</p> <p>It is no longer enough to acknowledge these issues in reports or press releases. Concrete action is required NOW. Every delay, every misallocation of funds, every failure to act harms real people—our neighbors, our families, our communities.</p> <p>We are watching. We are holding you accountable. The residents of Los Angeles County deserve a government that works for them, not against them.</p> <p>Pass policies that uplift, not suppress. Protect those who need it most. Invest in people, not just programs.</p> <p>History will remember the choices made in this chamber. Will you be remembered for defending the status quo, or for championing justice, fairness, and dignity for all? The choice is yours.</p> <p>Sincerely, we the people</p>
		<p>we nation wide we the people</p>	<p>we the dam citizens the people the community</p> <p>Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012</p> <p>Subject: Demand for Accountability and Citizen-First Priorities in Public Spending</p> <p>Dear Honorable Members of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors,</p> <p>I am writing to you as a concerned citizen who demands greater accountability, transparency, and prioritization of American citizens in your upcoming decisions and funding allocations. As representatives elected to serve the people of Los Angeles County, your first and foremost responsibility should be to the hardworking taxpayers and struggling communities that rely on essential services.</p> <p>After reviewing the agenda for the February 4, 2025, Board Meeting, I am deeply troubled by how funds are being allocated and the lack of focus on core issues that directly impact residents. Many of the motions reflect spending on programs that do not sufficiently benefit struggling citizens, while critical concerns such as homelessness, crime, and government accountability remain inadequately addressed.</p> <p>Key Concerns and Demands for Action:</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

1. Public Spending Must Prioritize American Citizens First we need Housing Now

County funds should not be misused on programs that do not directly serve taxpaying residents, especially while homelessness, poverty, and crime remain at crisis levels.

Housing initiatives should focus on providing shelter and mental health resources to struggling Americans, including veterans, low-income families, and at-risk youth.

Public services, including medical care and financial aid, should be prioritized for citizens, not illegal immigrants or programs that encourage dependency on government aid without accountability.

2. Accountability for Child Protective Services (CPS) and Government Agencies

CPS and similar agencies must be investigated for misconduct, arrested overreach, and lack of transparency in their handling of families and children. stephen MARPET XAVIER ROSAS Needs To Be in Jail aswelland the clerks in dependency courts not allowing parents to access court records not able to provide the courts answers to where is the evidence children in foster care abused and neglected its all a lie they dont have any evidence to support why cps coming to low-income communities drugging raping our children taking them for federal funding stop children service from trafficking our children for federal funding Now children lawcenter attorney and los Angeles dependency inc lawyers Not doing anything WE THE PEOPLE DEMAND TO CUT THEIR FUNDING AND INVESTIGATION AND THROW THEM OUT LIKE UNCLE PHOL THROW JAZZ OUT ON FRESH PRINCE OF BELAIR WHY U AT IT YA NEED TO HANG IT UP TO ..I WATCHED YA FOR 10 YEARS I CAN DO BETTER HELL THE CHILDREN CAN RUN IT BETTER WE THE BLACK AMERICANS NEED ARE REPARATIONS TO

Funding should be reevaluated and redirected towards family support services that prevent unnecessary government interference while still protecting vulnerable children.

A full audit of CPS operations and budget allocation should be conducted and made publicly available.

3. Public Safety & Crime Reduction Must Be a Priority

With rising crime rates, our law enforcement agencies must be fully



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

supported, and policies that weaken public safety should be reconsidered.

Instead of excessive spending on bureaucratic initiatives, funds should be allocated to crime prevention programs, police resources, and support for victims of crime.

4. Stop Wasting Taxpayer Money on Ineffective Programs

Many of the proposed contracts and sole-source agreements lack clear accountability measures to ensure taxpayer money is being used efficiently.

We demand greater public oversight and transparency in all county spending, including a mandatory review process for any contracts exceeding a certain financial threshold.

The Board should eliminate unnecessary spending on studies, consultants, and administrative overhead that do not produce real, measurable results for residents.

5. Immediate Action Needed on Homelessness & Mental Health Crisis

Homelessness remains a catastrophic issue, and yet there is no real urgency in finding solutions that actually work.

The County must stop enabling dependency on failed policies and instead implement programs that transition individuals into stable housing, jobs, and mental health services.

Instead of vague promises and bureaucratic delays, I demand a concrete plan with measurable goals and deadlines for reducing homelessness.

Final Demand: Transparency, Public Oversight, and Immediate Action

The people of Los Angeles County deserve real solutions, not political posturing or ineffective spending. As elected officials, it is your duty to listen to the concerns of your constituents and take action that directly improves our communities.

I am requesting that the Board:

Provide public transparency on all funding allocations, including detailed breakdowns of where money is going.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			<p>Commit to prioritizing American citizens first in all public assistance programs.</p> <p>Implement greater oversight and audits of agencies like CPS and other government departments handling taxpayer money.</p> <p>Redirect funds from ineffective programs to initiatives that actually reduce homelessness, crime, and economic hardship.</p> <p>Allow greater public involvement in budget decisions, including hearings where residents can directly question spending proposals.</p> <p>I expect a response addressing these concerns and a commitment from each Supervisor to take action. The people of Los Angeles County will hold you accountable in upcoming elections if you continue to ignore the needs of the people you were elected to serve.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>we the dam people</p>
		we the citizens	<p>We the people the citizens the tax payers Demand</p> <p>Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors 500 W Temple St Los Angeles, CA 90012</p> <p>Subject: Formal Demand to Reassess Allocation of Public Funds Toward Immigration Advocacy Events</p> <p>Dear Members of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors,</p> <p>I am writing on behalf of concerned residents of our community who are witnessing a severe lack of support for our homeless population and struggling citizens while resources are being allocated toward events advocating for non-citizens. We strongly urge the Board to reconsider such expenditures and to redirect those funds to address the urgent needs of American citizens within Los Angeles County.</p> <p>The upcoming "March for Immigrant Rights," scheduled for February 22, 2025, as advertised, raises significant concerns about the responsible use of taxpayer money. This event diverts focus and potentially valuable resources away from addressing the escalating homelessness crisis affecting thousands of Angelenos. As a community deeply affected by these priorities, we demand immediate action to prioritize our citizens' welfare.</p>



PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

Legal Framework and Concerns

1. Federal Law (8 U.S. Code § 1324): It is unlawful to encourage or assist in the unlawful presence of non-citizens in the United States. Events promoting resources for undocumented individuals may conflict with this statute.
2. California Constitution, Article XIII, Section 35: Public funds must be utilized in ways that directly benefit the residents of the state. Supporting events for non-citizens while ignoring the dire needs of homeless individuals raises questions about compliance with this mandate.
3. Homelessness Emergency in California: According to the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness, California's homeless population exceeds 171,000. Los Angeles County is disproportionately affected, with thousands of citizens living in tents, vehicles, or on the streets. This crisis requires immediate redirection of public funds.

Community Needs

The citizens of Los Angeles County, particularly the homeless and those struggling to meet basic needs, require immediate assistance. Allocating resources to marches or events advocating for immigrants while ignoring the plight of local taxpayers is unacceptable. Funds should be directed toward:

Emergency shelter and housing programs.

Mental health and substance abuse treatment services.

Job training and placement initiatives for unemployed citizens.

Demand

We demand the following immediate actions:

1. Cancel the allocation of any public resources, financial or otherwise, toward the "March for Immigrant Rights."
2. Reassess budget priorities to address the homelessness crisis and struggling citizens in Los Angeles County.
3. Issue a public statement outlining the Board's commitment to prioritizing



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			<p>the needs of Los Angeles residents.</p> <p>Failure to address these concerns may result in legal and electoral actions to ensure that the rights and needs of American citizens are prioritized. We expect a formal response within 10 business days outlining how the Board intends to address these issues.and remove everyone at this point.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Everyone We the dam citizens the people the community</p>
		<p>Other</p>	<p>Cedric Meyers</p> <p>Dear Honorable Members of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors,</p> <p>In light of the devastating wildfires that have recently displaced so many residents, I urge the Board to consider updating building codes to allow single-staircase apartment buildings in appropriate areas. Given the urgent need for efficient, high-quality, and context-sensitive housing solutions, revisiting restrictions on single-exit residential buildings could enable faster and more cost-effective rebuilding efforts—especially in wildfire-affected communities struggling to recover.</p> <p>Many cities worldwide, including Seattle, have embraced thoughtfully designed, safe, and beautiful single-staircase apartment buildings, demonstrating that such designs can enhance housing supply without compromising safety. In Seattle, these buildings provide affordable, human-scaled housing that integrates well into established neighborhoods, creating walkable and livable communities. With proper fire safety measures—including fire-resistant materials, enhanced smoke ventilation, and sprinkler systems—single-exit buildings can be both safe and a vital tool to combat the housing crisis.</p> <p>Los Angeles County's housing crisis is worsening, and with recent wildfires further depleting the region's already limited housing stock, the time to act is now. Allowing single-staircase apartment buildings—particularly on properties devastated by fire and in need of swift redevelopment—would enable architecturally sound, space-efficient, and more affordable housing solutions.</p> <p>I respectfully request that the Board explore how Seattle's housing policies on single-exit residential buildings could inform targeted updates to LA County's building codes, ensuring we balance safety with the urgent need to rebuild. This is an opportunity to adopt modern, globally accepted best practices that support both wildfire recovery and long-term housing affordability.</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

				<p>Thank you for your leadership on this critical issue.</p> <p>Judith Wyle</p> <p>There is a schism between our government's assurances of expeditious permitting efforts and the reality of what it will take to rebuild the fire ravaged areas. The city and county's pronouncements are paying lip service to issues of public safety and fire resilience, while seemingly acting as if they are optional measures. Granted, the latter requires actual work and analysis-hardly sound bite material. Without going into every potential reason why a property owner may not be able to achieve the promised "like for like" permits, due to: changed topography; emergent geological problems; lot elimination for reconfigured roadways and infrastructure, etc.....let alone the financial issues, property owners are already spending money engaging professionals to help them navigate an ill-defined process rife with contradictions.</p> <p>As our local government makes these announcements trying to reassure the shocked, desperate and jittery burn area stakeholders that they are on the case, "Public Safety" is now taking a back seat to "Recovery."</p> <p>Officials are already sounding off on how the building codes saved lives, (just not buildings!). Obviously, the aggregate planning, zoning, infrastructure and building codes failed to secure these properties, exposing owners and stakeholders to disaster with yet-to-be-determined financial consequences. Nonetheless, the city and county officials keep reiterating that property owners will be able to build back these failed structures, as they were, without explicitly alerting them to needed changes that may be required to make these already failed buildings and neighborhoods safer, let alone safe.</p> <p>Planning is not being discussed, or is being dismissed as an unnecessary burden. Needed fire safety code reform is being relegated to "guidelines." The suitability assessment, whether some of these lots will even be safe to build on once the clean-up is done, is being ignored. The reeling property owners are being lead down a "garden path" to the point of being mislead. Who among the unincorporated county property owners are aware that the "like for like" streamlined permitting process may be limited to buildings permitted after 2020? And, are the fire prevention measures of the 2020 code cycle sufficient? Who will reinvest in these properties if they can't be insured? Who will insure these properties if they are no safer than they were before? The real question is: What will it take to make these buildings and communities insurable now that we know what the real danger is?</p> <p>A "free for all," "every man for himself," piecemeal, "willy-nilly" "fire resilience optional" approach to re-building, lot by lot, will undermine the fire-resilient/resistant "herd immunity" needed to make these neighborhoods insurable and safe. Meaningful fire resistance and resilience can only be achieved with planning and code reforms specific to these burn areas. These are not drawn out community plan revisions being proposed, but very specific public safety measures, and an understanding that these displaced communities may welcome more robust fire safety codes. They may welcome</p>
--	--	--	--	---



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			<p>the addition of multi-family housing solutions. We should be enabling stakeholders to repopulate their communities in building types that better suit their near future needs. Architects can and should be playing a central role in working these issues through. It is really just a scaling up of what they do every day.</p> <p>Architects are unique among building professionals, in that they interface with EVERY aspect of building, from: underlying geology; topography; non-discretionary environmental considerations; local planning; surveying; easements; infrastructure interfaces; building codes; engineering (civil, structural, mechanical, etc.) design; materials; consultants; contractors, interior and exterior finishes, hardscape and landscape, etc.</p> <p>They are trained and licensed to deal with every one of the above issues and professions. Every single building that goes up, from the humblest bungalow to multi-family structures and even whole subdivisions will be affected by the complex swirl of issues that face us in rebuilding these areas more safely. Architects are accustomed to being the interface between regulations, services, infrastructure and essential building specialties. Architects guide their clients through this process. They can, and should, be central to guiding the City and County of Los Angeles too.</p> <p>The unique and central position that architects hold is vitally important to the planning of how to build back safely and insurably. They can help the county and the city get on track in a sensible and insurable manner.</p> <p>Efforts being made to rebuild exactly what was there before risk ending up on the scrapheap of false starts, reducing the available resources of those already suffering from the ravages of these fires.</p> <p>The clean-up is now expected to take 18 months. That's 18 months until the 1st rebuilding shovels can hit the ground. Lets get this right using the only professionals that are accustomed to dealing with every aspect of our built environments, architects.</p> <p>They are already hard at work, and engaged in defining what will be needed to effectively address the rebuilding process. The AIA LA Wildfire Recovery Committee is off to a robust beginning. Its members are forming committees, attending events, and conferring with experts, and each other, to determine the paths forward that will be needed to rebuild safe and insurable communities. Do not overlook what architects have to offer the Los Angeles community at this critical juncture.</p>
		Judith Wyle	<p>There is a schism between our government's assurances of expeditious permitting efforts and the reality of what it will take to rebuild the fire ravaged areas. The city and county's pronouncements are paying lip service to issues of public safety and fire resilience, while seemingly acting as if they are optional measures. Granted, the latter requires actual work and analysis-hardly sound bite material. Without going into every potential reason why a property owner may not be able to achieve the promised "like for like"</p>



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

permits, due to: changed topography; emergent geological problems; lot elimination for reconfigured roadways and infrastructure, etc.....let alone the financial issues, property owners are already spending money engaging professionals to help them navigate an ill-defined process rife with contradictions.

As our local government makes these announcements trying to reassure the shocked, desperate and jittery burn area stakeholders that they are on the case, "Public Safety" is now taking a back seat to "Recovery."

Officials are already sounding off on how the building codes saved lives, (just not buildings!). Obviously, the aggregate planning, zoning, infrastructure and building codes failed to secure these properties, exposing owners and stakeholders to disaster with yet-to-be-determined financial consequences. Nonetheless, the city and county officials keep reiterating that property owners will be able to build back these failed structures, as they were, without explicitly alerting them to needed changes that may be required to make these already failed buildings and neighborhoods safer, let alone safe.

Planning is not being discussed, or is being dismissed as an unnecessary burden. Needed fire safety code reform is being relegated to "guidelines." The suitability assessment, whether some of these lots will even be safe to build on once the clean-up is done, is being ignored. The reeling property owners are being lead down a "garden path" to the point of being misled. Who among the unincorporated county property owners are aware that the "like for like" streamlined permitting process may be limited to buildings permitted after 2020? And, are the fire prevention measures of the 2020 code cycle sufficient? Who will reinvest in these properties if they can't be insured? Who will insure these properties if they are no safer than they were before? The real question is: What will it take to make these buildings and communities insurable now that we know what the real danger is?

A "free for all," "every man for himself," piecemeal, "willy-nilly" "fire resilience optional" approach to re-building, lot by lot, will undermine the fire-resilient/resistant "herd immunity" needed to make these neighborhoods insurable and safe. Meaningful fire resistance and resilience can only be achieved with planning and code reforms specific to these burn areas. These are not drawn out community plan revisions being proposed, but very specific public safety measures, and an understanding that these displaced communities may welcome more robust fire safety codes. They may welcome the addition of multi-family housing solutions. We should be enabling stakeholders to repopulate their communities in building types that better suit their near future needs. Architects can and should be playing a central role in working these issues through. It is really just a scaling up of what they do every day.

Architects are unique among building professionals, in that they interface with EVERY aspect of building, from:
underlying geology; topography; non-discretionary environmental



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

			<p>considerations; local planning; surveying; easements; infrastructure interfaces; building codes; engineering (civil, structural, mechanical, etc.) design; materials; consultants; contractors, interior and exterior finishes, hardscape and landscape, etc.</p> <p>They are trained and licensed to deal with every one of the above issues and professions. Every single building that goes up, from the humblest bungalow to multi-family structures and even whole subdivisions will be affected by the complex swirl of issues that face us in rebuilding these areas more safely. Architects are accustomed to being the interface between regulations, services, infrastructure and essential building specialties. Architects guide their clients through this process. They can, and should, be central to guiding the City and County of Los Angeles too.</p> <p>The unique and central position that architects hold is vitally important to the planning of how to build back safely and insurably. They can help the county and the city get on track in a sensible and insurable manner.</p> <p>Efforts being made to rebuild exactly what was there before risk ending up on the scrapheap of false starts, reducing the available resources of those already suffering from the ravages of these fires.</p> <p>The clean-up is now expected to take 18 months. That's 18 months until the 1st rebuilding shovels can hit the ground. Lets get this right using the only professionals that are accustomed to dealing with every aspect of our built environments, architects.</p> <p>They are already hard at work, and engaged in defining what will be needed to effectively address the rebuilding process. The AIA LA Wildfire Recovery Committee is off to a robust beginning. Its members are forming committees, attending events, and conferring with experts, and each other, to determine the paths forward that will be needed to rebuild safe and insurable communities. Do not overlook what architects have to offer the Los Angeles community at this critical juncture.</p>
	MELVIN S HILL	ALEX VILLANUEVA HAD ME ARRESTED	
	Michael Ackerman	<p>Dear Supervisors,</p> <p>Please ask the Governor to send criminal investigators from the National Guard to look into malfeasance by local law-enforcement, especially by the District Attorney's Bureau of Investigation. I ask you to issue a public statement that you've made this request, and that you do it yourselves and not delegate the task to staff.</p> <p>Two local police departments have refused to seriously investigate criminal allegations, have failed to make required records, and have refused to send reports to prosecutors. CPRA requests have failed to produce records they have.</p>	



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

	<p>In 2024, the Governor deployed lawyers from the National Guard to serve as prosecutors in San Francisco and Alameda counties. This would be similar to that action.</p> <p>This request will have no cost to County residents. Even if I were wrong (I'm not) it would demonstrate that you care about residents and officers who might be under duress.</p> <p>Sincerely, Michael Ackerman</p>
Monisha Parker	<p>Rebuilding a community using modular construction homes that are fire and water-resistant in Altadena, California involves several key steps. Here's a comprehensive guide to help you navigate this process, focusing on affordability and sustainability:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Research and Planning<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Understand Local Regulations Zoning Laws: Review local zoning regulations and building codes in Altadena to ensure compliance. Permits: Identify necessary permits for modular construction and assess any unique regulations related to fire-resistant materials or flood zones.b. Community Engagement Conduct Surveys: Gather input from community members on their preferences for housing types, aesthetic considerations, and affordability. Workshops: Organize workshops or meetings to educate the community about the benefits of modular homes, especially fire and water resistance.c. Identify Funding Sources Grants and Loans: Look into state and federal grants that support affordable housing and disaster recovery. Public-Private Partnerships: Explore partnerships with local businesses or non-profits focused on community development.2. Designing Modular Homes<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Choose a Modular Builder Research Builders: Look for reputable modular home manufacturers experienced in fire and water-resistant designs. Evaluate Options: Compare designs, materials, and prices to find a builder that fits the community's needs and budget.b. Fire and Water Resistance Features Materials: Use fire-retardant materials like steel, concrete, or treated wood for structure and siding. For example, consider using fiber cement siding or stucco. Roofing: Install Class A roofing materials that resist fire and heavy rain. Foundation: Elevate homes above flood levels if necessary and consider using reinforced concrete foundations.



**PUBLIC REQUEST TO ADDRESS
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

HILDA L. SOLIS
HOLLY J. MITCHELL
LINDSEY P. HORVATH
JANICE HAHN
KATHRYN BARGER

Correspondence Received

c. Energy Efficiency

Insulation: Incorporate high-quality insulation to improve energy efficiency and reduce heating costs.

Solar Panels: Consider installing solar panels to promote sustainability and reduce long-term energy costs.

3. Community Infrastructure and Amenities

a. Develop Supporting Infrastructure

Utilities: Ensure that water, sewage, and electricity systems can support the new modular homes. Work with local utility companies.

Road Access: Upgrade or create roads that allow easy access for emergency services and residents.

b. Community Spaces

Shared Areas: Design common spaces such as parks or community gardens that foster social interaction and well-being.

Safety Features: Implement safety features in shared spaces, such as adequate lighting and fire breaks.

4. Construction and Implementation

a. Begin Construction

Timeline: Create a realistic timeline for construction and be transparent with the community about milestones.

Quality Control: Ensure quality control throughout the construction process, verifying that all fire and water-resistant features are correctly implemented.

b. Community Involvement

Local Workforce: Where possible, hire local contractors and labor to support the community.

Volunteering Opportunities: Engage community members in volunteer opportunities for landscaping or setting up amenities.

5. Post-Construction and Support

a. Ongoing Maintenance

Maintenance Plans: Develop plans for ongoing maintenance of fire and water-resistant features to ensure longevity.

Education: Provide workshops or resources for homeowners on maintaining their homes and preparing for emergencies.

b. Social Services and Support

Counseling Services: Offer assistance and support services for families who may be displaced due to disasters.

Financial Counseling: Provide resources for financial literacy and budgeting.

6. Promotion and Marketing

a. Showcase Benefits

Communicate Value: Highlight the benefits of modular homes, such as cost-effectiveness, sustainability, and adaptability to various environmental conditions.

Success Stories: Share stories of families who have successfully moved into modular homes to inspire others.

b. Leverage Digital Platforms

			<p>Social Media Campaigns: Use social media to promote the project and encourage community support.</p> <p>Website and Blogs: Create a website or blog to provide updates, share resources, and engage the community.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Rebuilding with modular construction in Altadena, California, requires careful planning, community involvement, and a focus on fire and water-resistant designs. By approaching this project with a collaborative mindset and leveraging available resources and partnerships, you can create affordable, sustainable housing that strengthens the community and enhances resilience against future disasters.</p> <p>MODULAR HOMES WITH FIRE AND WATER RESISTANCE:</p> <p>When it comes to modular homes that boast fire and water resistance while remaining budget-friendly, Icon Build stands out. This innovative company utilizes advanced materials and construction techniques to create homes that withstand various environmental challenges. Their designs prioritize sustainability, safety, and affordability, ensuring that families can find protection without breaking the bank.</p> <p>Another notable player is Dvele Homes. They offer modular homes that incorporate high-quality materials, including fire-resistant designs. Their homes are not only aesthetically pleasing but also built to endure harsh weather conditions. By focusing on energy efficiency, Blu Homes provides eco-conscious solutions that do not compromise on safety.</p> <p>Furthermore, Method Homes has gained recognition for its commitment to building resilient modular homes. Their structures utilize durable materials that enhance fire and water resistance, all while maintaining an affordable price point. Method Homes emphasizes customization, allowing buyers to tailor their homes to their specific needs for safety and aesthetics.</p> <p>In conclusion, these companies demonstrate the potential of modular construction to deliver homes that are both affordable and resilient against fire and water damage. As the market continues to evolve, such innovations pave the way for safer living environments.</p>
		Paige McGrail	Please help renters in Altadena advocate for safe remediation of smoke and ash damage to their homes standing within the burn zone. Please put a moratorium on evictions for renters affected by Eaton fire.
		Item Total	19
Grand Total			19

I am a resident of Altadena where I have lived and rented my home for several years. Just because I rent instead of owning my house does not make Altadena any less of my home. Renters in Altadena, like myself, need adequate protections to return to our beloved homes in a safe manner. While the Eaton fire burned most of my immediate neighborhood, my home is still standing within the burn perimeter. The messaging from LA County officials around the safety of returning to standing structures within the burned perimeter has been confusingly devoid of meaningful concern for the safety residents upon returning to standing homes contaminated with toxic ash and smoke damage. As a renter, I am looking for resources and guidance from the county, including the public health department, that I can point my landlord to to make sure they understand the serious health concerns related to toxic ash and smoke damage in standing structures after urban fires, and the need to properly repair, clean, and remediate the smoke and ash damage to my unit. Without proper guidance and resources from public officials on the health and safety concerns in re-occupying structures standing within the burn perimeter, landlords (including my own) are pressuring tenants to move back into properties and requiring rent payments on February 1 (under threat of eviction for non-payment) for units that are not safe to occupy and that have not been properly tested or cleaned for toxic contaminants known to be in urban fire smoke and ash. As a renter, I do not hold the insurance policy on the property and therefore do not have control over filing any claims for proper testing and remediation of toxic smoke and ash. Renters in the fire-affected areas need resources from public health officials to properly advocate for their safe return to their homes, including guidance on proper remediation and other tenant protections such as moratoriums on evictions during the recovery period. Public officials also need to provide official guidance to tenants and landlords that rent pre-paid for the portion of January in which the area was under mandatory evacuation, properties lacked essential utilities, and premises were uninhabitable due to safety and health concerns needs to be returned to renters. Please help the renters who call Altadena their beloved home.

Ricker, Eileen

From: Ricker, Eileen
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2023 12:06 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Hi [REDACTED],

We spoke about a year ago on this constituent issue and you directed me to [REDACTED], who directed me to [REDACTED]. As you can see from my emails below, I have been trying to circle back with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with no luck. Are [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] still the appropriate folks to help me with this? I tried calling [REDACTED] but the phone number I had was not in service.

Our constituent is incredibly frustrated and has not been able to get any information himself on specifically what put him on this list. He has reached back out to the Senator personally so I would really like to track down this information (or find out where I track it down). Thanks.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker
Chief of Staff
Office of Senator Scott Wilk
1021 O Street, Suite 7140
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-651-4021

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2023 11:54 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Circling back on this [REDACTED]. Can you please contact me regarding this constituent request for information. I realize you may not have the answers to the questions, but I would like to hear back from you on where this constituent goes to get these answers.

Thank you.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker

Chief of Staff

Office of Senator Scott Wilk

1021 O Street, Suite 7140

Sacramento, CA 95814

916-651 4021

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 11:52 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Hi [REDACTED],

We spoke about a year ago regarding a constituent who had his firearms seized [REDACTED] was very helpful in explaining the process etc.. but the constituent wants us to help him further. I sent her an email with some questions (copied below in this email) but I have not heard back from her. The number I had is also not in service so I am reaching back out to you for direction. Our constituent is persistent and understandably upset by the situation Please give me a call at your convenience. Below are the questions I sent [REDACTED]. I look forward to discussing this with you at your earliest convenience

Our constituent's info:

Name: Melvin "Steve" Hill

Address: 621 West Avenue S-14, Palmdale, CA

DOB: 08/22/1961

Service Comment Report #259372 (LASD)

Notice to Appear AD 087965 (LASD)

Questions for you/DOJ:

Can you confirm for me that Mr. Hill was on the MHRS list (and not on the ACHS/SRF/CARPOS/SPS lists)?

Who provided that list to DOJ? Who would be the appropriate person to contact at that agency/department?

What was the exact reason he was placed on the list and what is done to ascertain the information provided about him is true?

Mr. Hill has no knowledge of anyone reporting him and was caught completely unawares when the officers showed up at his door. He would like to see any and all information pertaining to him being listed/or put in any data base that could have triggered this He has filled out a privacy release form authorizing us to look into this on his behalf. Any help you could give us would be greatly appreciated. Thank you

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker
Chief of Staff
Office of Senator Scott Wilk
1021 O Street, Suite 7140
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-651-4021

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e mail

From: Ricker, Eileen
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2023 10:45 AM
[REDACTED]@doj.ca.gov
Subject: RE: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Hi [REDACTED],

I am checking back on this request. Can you give me any direction on where I get this information? Thank you.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker
Chief of Staff
Office of Senator Scott Wilk
1021 O Street, Suite 7140
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-651-4021

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Ricker, Eileen
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2023 4:38 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Hi [REDACTED],

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing on behalf of our constituent Melvin "Steve" Hill. He is the individual I contacted you about last year (see email below). His issue continues and he has asked us to help him get all information related to the confiscation of his firearms. Your email is very informative and helps me to understand the process involved in a person ending up on the APPS list but now I have a few additional questions.

Our constituent's info:

Name: Melvin "Steve" Hill
Address: 621 West Avenue S-14; Palmdale, CA
DOB: 08/22/1961
Service Comment Report #259372 (LASD)
Notice to Appear AD 087965 (LASD)

Questions for you/DOJ:

Can you confirm for me that Mr. Hill was on the MHRs list (and not on the ACHS/SRF/CARPOS/SPS lists)?
Who provided that list to DOJ? Who would be the appropriate person to contact at that agency/department?
What was the exact reason he was placed on the list and what is done to ascertain the information provided about him is true?

Mr Hill has no knowledge of anyone reporting him and was caught completely unawares when the officers showed up at his door. He would like to see any and all information pertaining to him being listed/or put in any data base that could have triggered this. He has filled out a privacy release form authorizing us to look into this on his behalf. Any help you could give us would be greatly appreciated Thank you

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker
Chief of Staff
Office of Senator Scott Wilk
1021 O Street, Suite 7140
Sacramento, CA 95814
916 651 4021

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e mail

[Redacted]

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 1:51 PM
To: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>
Subject: Re: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Sure thing - just tried to give you a call. Feel free to call back at your convenience.

[Redacted]

[Redacted]
CA Dept of Justice

From: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:52:17 AM
[Redacted]
Subject: RE: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This message was sent from outside DOJ. Please do not click links or open attachments that appear suspicious

I do have an additional question. Do you have a minute for a call today? My cell is below Thanks.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker
Chief of Staff
Office of Senator Scott Wilk
[REDACTED]
eileen.ricker@sen.ca.gov

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e mail

[REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:44 AM

To: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Hi Eileen,

I don't have any specific information on the constituent, but I wanted to quickly share with you general information on how individuals end up on the Armed and Prohibited Persons list.

DOJ maintains firearm ownership records for handguns dating back to 1996 and long guns dating back to 2014. Those records are regularly and routinely cross referenced with a number of other DOJ maintained repositories.

A person may become prohibited as a result of a criminal conviction. Felonies, certain misdemeanors and occasionally conditions of probation make a person ineligible to own and possess a firearm. This information is contained in the Automated Criminal History System (ACHS) and the Supervised Release File (SRF). If and when a persons criminal record prohibits them from owning and possession firearms, they are notified at the time of their conviction and sentencing.

A person may become prohibited as a result of a mental health triggering event. This may be someone determined to be incompetent to stand trial, not guilty by mental disease or defect, someone placed under a conservatorship, or someone generally deemed to be a danger to themselves and/or others This information is contained in the Mental Health Reporting System (MHRS). For those events occurring in court, they are notified at the time of their conservatorship or at what's called the colloquy. For those events occurring at a health care facility, they are notified in writing at the time of their discharge and are given paperwork instructing them on the appeal process.

A person may become prohibited as a result of a restraining order such as a civil harassment order, domestic violence restraining order, or gun violence restraining order. This information is contained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS). A restraining order takes effect once it's been served and included in a vast number of the forms is notice of a firearm ownership prohibition for subjects of ex parte, temporary and permanent restraining orders.

Finally a person may become prohibited as the result of an outstanding warrant. This information is entered into the Wanted Persons System (WPS) though not every agency enters in every outstanding warrant. Assuming the offense is a firearm prohibiting offense and assuming the information is entered into WPS by the issuing agency the person becomes prohibited. This is the one triggering event where notice is a bit nuanced - typically warrants are issued as a result of a failure to appear, meaning that the person knew they had a court date but did not show up.

In every instance except that of a warrant there is a standardized process by which a firearm owner receives notice they are prohibited from owning and possessing firearms. In the case of warrants the issue becomes an individualized and case specific issue.

Contestation of placement on the list requires contestation of the validity of the triggering event. A felony is a felony, and it's a prohibiting offense, under both state and federal law. A mental health triggering event may be appealed, and this information is provided to someone upon discharge.

There is no such thing as malicious placement on the APPS list. A system generates the list based on the cross referencing of firearm ownership records with the aforementioned systems which is then reviewed and confirmed by an investigator prior to any contact by a DOJ special agent. Confirmation is based on the personally identifiable information required to be provided by an individual first at the time of their purchase and subsequent at the time of the triggering event.

To your hypothetical - *For example if a person was on a mental health hold who lets DOJ know that they should not have a firearm? Or if a therapist believes a person is a threat where does he/she report that information – DOJ? Sheriff? Somewhere else?*

If a therapist believes a person is a danger to themselves and/or others, that information is typically (though not always) sent to law enforcement for a welfare check. Los Angeles has mobile mental health units and deploys social workers in some instances though I don't have a ton of information on how this works. In any event, if the claim is determined to be a legitimate one, a person will be transported to a hospital for a full evaluation. It is at the time of this evaluation whereby a person is determined whether they should be involuntarily committed and upon discharge they're informed of the process by which they can contest their resulting inability to own and possess a firearm. If they indicate they'd like to contest they must provide information by which a court can use to schedule a hearing date for their appeal to be heard.

If you have additional questions please feel free to let us know.

[REDACTED]

From: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:11 AM

Subject: RE: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This message was sent from outside DOJ. Please do not click links or open attachments that appear suspicious.

Thanks.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker

Chief of Staff

Office of Senator Scott Wilk

Cell Ph: 916-212-5784

eileen.ricker@sen.ca.gov

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 11:11 AM

To: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>; [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Hello Eileen,

I am including our Legislative Advocate, [REDACTED] who oversees firearm related inquiries. [REDACTED] can explain a bit more in detail some of the overarching themes of your constituents inquiry.

Be well,

From: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2022 4:53:14 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This message was sent from outside DOJ. Please do not click links or open attachments that appear suspicious.

Good afternoon, I am checking in on this request for information. Mr. Hill continues to call me, Senator Wilk and our office for information on this situation. The Special Agent at DOJ (who went to Mr. Hill's door) contacted Mr. Hill again

this week and said he has been unsuccessful in getting any return calls from the LA Sheriff's office. He had reached out to the sheriff's deputy on the case last week and I gather again this week since they are the reporting agency. No response. This is a horrible situation for our constituent.

Can you please provide me with answers to the questions I submitted? Thank you.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker

Chief of Staff

Office of Senator Scott Wilk

Cell Ph 916-212-5784

eileen.ricker@sen.ca.gov

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e mail

From: Ricker, Eileen

Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 9:51 AM

Subject: RE: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Good morning [REDACTED] I heard back from the Special Agent Friday evening. Thank you for making that happen. The agent said they were called in by the LA Sheriff to help with rounding up firearms from people on the APPS list.

I have a couple of questions on the APPS program that have stemmed from our constituent's issue that I hope you can answer.

- 1 Does a person placed on this list receive any notification from DOJ or other involved organization that he/she is now prohibited from owning/possessing a firearm? Our constituent received no notice.
- 2 How does one contest placement on this list? Is this information readily available?
3. What access does a person have to the information that placed him/her on the APPS list? How would you know if something was filed in error or maliciously if you couldn't see the supporting documentation?
4. How do you go about getting that information?
5. Where do these reports general originate? For example if a person was on a mental health hold who lets DOJ know that they should not have a firearm? Or if a therapist believes a person is a threat where does he/she report that information – DOJ? Sheriff? Somewhere else?

I asked the special agent where this report came from putting Mr Hill on the APPS list. He said it originated from the LA Sheriff. I am not sure if that meant DOJ's paperwork didn't say anything other than the LA Sheriff was running that particular session to round up firearms, or if original notification of an issue was filed with the sheriff or the sheriff's office filed the it themselves based on something it had access to?

Thank you very much. I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker

Chief of Staff

Office of Senator Scott Wilk

[REDACTED]

eileen.ricker@sen.ca.gov

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 3:00 PM

[REDACTED], Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Hello Eileen,

I will be happy to assist. Please give me some specifics and I will advise accordingly

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

Office of Attorney General Rob Bonta

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 2:53 PM

To: Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov>

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Questions from Senator Wilk's office

Nice talking with you, Eileen. My colleague [REDACTED] will be reaching out. Thank you.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Justice

[REDACTED]

On Feb 18, 2022, at 2:29 PM, Ricker, Eileen <Eileen.Ricker@sen.ca.gov> wrote:

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This message was sent from outside DOJ. Please do not click links or open attachments that appear suspicious.

Hi [REDACTED],

Can you please give me a call regarding an issue with a constituent that Senator Wilk has asked me to look into? Thank you. My cell is below. Thank you so much.

Regards,

Eileen

Eileen Ricker

Chief of Staff

Office of Senator Scott Wilk


eileen.ricker@sen.ca.gov

WWW.SENATE.CA.GOV/WILK



Sign up for Senator Scott Wilk's Updates, Click [HERE](#)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

HALL OF JUSTICE

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF

January 11, 2023

Mr. Melvin Hill
621 West Avenue S-14
Palmdale, California 93551

Dear Mr. Hill:

SERVICE COMMENT REPORT # 259372

On August 30, 2022, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department received your complaint, via email, from the Los Angeles County Office of the Inspector General's Office.

Your concerns have been thoroughly addressed in an inquiry conducted by Sergeant John Gutierrez. After reviewing his inquiry regarding misconduct by a member of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, it was determined the allegations did not pertain to a Department employee.

I do appreciate you bringing this matter to my attention and the opportunity it afforded me to evaluate the manner in which we here at Internal Affairs Bureau conduct business. If you have any questions about the results of my review of your complaint, please contact me directly at (323) 890-5300.

Sincerely,

ROBERT G. LUNA, SHERIFF


Ron Kopperud, Captain
Internal Affairs Bureau

211 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

A Tradition of Service



NAACP Antelope Valley Branch Complaint Form

Date of complaint: _____

Please check the type of complaint that you are making:

Retaliation Discrimination Harassment/Housing Civil Rights violation/Hate crimes

Please select the agency, organization and/or person of which you are filing the complaint against:

School District Government Agency Law Enforcement Other

Location of Incident: _____

Date(s) incident occurred: _____

****Please provide the following information about yourself (Complainant)****

Name: _____

(First)

(Middle)

(Last)

Address: _____

Street

City,

State

Zip

Home Telephone #: () _____ Work #: () _____

Email address: _____

Work Location: _____

****Please provide the following information about whom this complaint is against (Respondent)****

Employer (or former employer) Name: _____

Address: _____

Street City, State Zip

Telephone: () _____ Fax #: () _____

Supervisor's Name: _____ Business Agent/Steward _____

District: _____ Field Base Office

Do you currently have an attorney working in your behalf? Yes No Not sure

If yes, provide information below

Attorney's Name: _____

Attorney's Address: _____

City, State & Zip: _____

Attorney's Telephone # _____ Fax #: _____

Do we have your permission to contact your attorney? Yes No

Has a lawsuit been filed? Yes ~~No~~ Not sure

If yes, when filed? _____ In what city? _____ In what court? _____
mm/dd/yyyy

Have you filed an EEOC complaint? Yes No Not sure If yes, when filed? _____

Case # _____ Right to sue letter? Yes No Not sure
mm/dd/yyyy

Have you filed a Fair Employment & Housing complaint? Yes No Not sure

If yes, when filed? _____ Case # _____


Right to sue letter? Yes No Not sure mm/dd/yyyy

Please include copies of filed complaints and right to sue letters upon submitting this completed form.

RELEASE OF LIABILITY

I affirm that the statements that I have made above are accurate and true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I hereby request the assistance of the NAACP Antelope Valley Branch in seeking a remedy to the situation described above. I hereby authorize the officers of the NAACP Antelope Branch to have access to information and documents, which are relevant to my claim of discrimination described above.

I understand that once a referral has been made to a volunteer, community agency or private attorney, the NAACP Antelope Branch WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE for handling this matter. I further understand that by signing this document, I am agreeing to HOLD the NAACP Antelope Valley Branch harmless for any and all damages arising as a result of my case being mishandled, negligently handled or improperly handled in any way.

Signature: 

Print FULL Name: _____

Date: _____

NON-RETALIATION REQUIREMENTS

Section 704 (a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, (as amended), Section 4 (d) of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, (as amended), and various other civil rights laws make it an unlawful employment practice for an employer; employment agency; or labor organization: to discriminate against employees, applicants for employment, member or applicant for membership, because the employee, member or applicant has opposed an unlawful employment practice, made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding or hearing.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Please be advised that filing a discrimination complaint with the NAACP does not mean that the NAACP will be representing you in any legal matter. If you believe you have a discrimination claim, your must file a claim with the appropriate State or Federal agency in a timely manner. Failure to do so may prevent you from pursuing a claim in a court of law.

COMPLETION OF THIS FORM

Completing this form does NOT constitute filing an official complaint with a legal authority. At this time the NAACP Antelope Valley Branch is ONLY seeking information to assist you concerning this complaint.

Antelope Valley Branch
of the NAACP
Legal Redress
P.O. Box 2466
Lancaster California 93539
For questions call (661) 222-8144.

NAACP Human Rights Complaint Form

***** Internal Use Only *****

Date of Branch receipt: _____

Date of Committee receipt: _____

Committee Review _____
Date

Committee Action:

Assigned/Requested Case Number _____ Date _____

Logged onto log sheet _____ Date _____ Telephone Call

_____ Date _____ Mailed forms

_____ Date _____ Referred to

_____ Date _____ Other

_____ Date _____

Committee/Branch notes: *(initial and date all notations made)*



NAACP Antelope Valley Branch Complaint Form

Date of complaint: _____

Please check the type of complaint that you are making:

Retaliation Discrimination Harassment/Housing Civil Rights violation/Hate crimes

Please select the agency, organization and/or person of which you are filing the complaint against:

School District Government Agency Law Enforcement Other

Location of Incident: _____

Date(s) incident occurred: _____

****Please provide the following information about yourself (Complainant)****

Name: _____

(First)

(Middle)

(Last)

Address: _____

Street

City,

State

Zip

Home Telephone #: () _____ Work #: () _____

Email address: _____

Work Location: _____

****Please provide the following information about whom this complaint is against (Respondent)****

Employer (or former employer) Name: _____

Address: _____

Street City, State Zip

Telephone: () _____ Fax #: () _____

Supervisor's Name: _____ Business Agent/Steward _____

District: _____ Field Base Office

Do you currently have an attorney working in your behalf? Yes No Not sure

If yes, provide information below

Attorney's Name: _____

Attorney's Address: _____

City, State & Zip: _____

Attorney's Telephone # _____ Fax #: _____

Do we have your permission to contact your attorney? Yes No

Has a lawsuit been filed? Yes No Not sure

If yes, when filed? _____ In what city? _____ In what court? _____
mm/dd/yyyy

Have you filed an EEOC complaint? Yes No Not sure If yes, when filed? _____

Case # _____ Right to sue letter? Yes No Not sure
mm/dd/yyyy

Have you filed a Fair Employment & Housing complaint? Yes No Not sure

If yes, when filed? _____ Case # _____

Right to sue letter? Yes No Not sure mm/dd/yyyy

Please include copies of filed complaints and right to sue letters upon submitting this completed form.

RELEASE OF LIABILITY

I affirm that the statements that I have made above are accurate and true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I hereby request the assistance of the NAACP Antelope Valley Branch in seeking a remedy to the situation described above. I hereby authorize the officers of the NAACP Antelope Branch to have access to information and documents, which are relevant to my claim of discrimination described above.

I understand that once a referral has been made to a volunteer, community agency or private attorney, the NAACP Antelope Branch WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE for handling this matter. I further understand that by signing this document, I am agreeing to HOLD the NAACP Antelope Valley Branch harmless for any and all damages arising as a result of my case being mishandled, negligently handled or improperly handled in any way.

Signature: 

Print FULL Name: _____

Date: _____

NON-RETALIATION REQUIREMENTS

Section 704 (a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, (as amended), Section 4 (d) of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, (as amended), and various other civil rights laws make it an unlawful employment practice for an employer; employment agency; or labor organization: to discriminate against employees, applicants for employment, member or applicant for membership, because the employee, member or applicant has opposed an unlawful employment practice, made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding or hearing.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Please be advised that filing a discrimination complaint with the NAACP does not mean that the NAACP will be representing you in any legal matter. If you believe you have a discrimination claim, your must file a claim with the appropriate State or Federal agency in a timely manner. Failure to do so may prevent you from pursuing a claim in a court of law.

COMPLETION OF THIS FORM

Completing this form does NOT constitute filing an official complaint with a legal authority. At this time the NAACP Antelope Valley Branch is ONLY seeking information to assist you concerning this complaint.

Antelope Valley Branch
of the NAACP
Legal Redress
P.O. Box 2466
Lancaster California 93539
For questions call (661) 222-8144.

NAACP Human Rights Complaint Form

***** Internal Use Only *****

Date of Branch receipt: _____

Date of Committee receipt: _____

Committee Review _____
Date

Committee Action:

Assigned/Requested Case Number _____ Date _____

Logged onto log sheet _____ Date _____ Telephone Call

_____ Date _____ Mailed forms

_____ Date _____ Referred to

_____ Date _____ Other

_____ Date _____

Committee/Branch notes: *(initial and date all notations made)*